

Cyclical Earth Catastrophe Theories

A graded survey of neo-catastrophist theories — galactic cycles, the Younger Dryas, geomagnetic excursions, and the mythological archive — weighing hard data against interpretation.

AUTHORS

Todd Cleckner · Claude Opus · GPT-5.5 · Grok

AFFILIATION

9RESE' LLC

CONTACT

contact@carrington.app

UPDATED

June 2026

Scope & evidence classes. This report surveys the neo-catastrophist literature and grades each major claim so the reader can separate robust science from interpretation: **ESTABLISHED** — replicated, broad peer-reviewed consensus · **CONTESTED** — real evidence but disputed or mixed · **HYPOTHESIS** — a proposed mechanism with limited or no confirmation. Sources range from peer-reviewed papers and official monitoring products to independent essays and mythological-interpretive readings; the grade reflects where each claim actually sits, not how dramatic it sounds. *This is a graded review of cyclical-catastrophe theories — not a forecast, and not a prediction of any imminent event.*

1. Introduction: The Resurgence of Catastrophism

For the better part of two centuries, the geological sciences were governed by the doctrine of Uniformitarianism — the principle that the processes shaping the Earth today (erosion, sedimentation, gradual tectonics) are the same forces that have operated throughout its history. Coined by James Hutton and popularized by Charles Lyell, this gradualist paradigm largely banished the concept of global cataclysm to the realm of theology and pseudoscience. Yet the accumulation of high-resolution stratigraphy, ice-core data, and astrophysical modeling over the last few decades has forced a partial rehabilitation of catastrophism — a recognition that while gradual processes dominate the quiet intervals, Earth's history is punctuated by abrupt, high-energy events that reorganize the biosphere and the climate on human timescales.

This report investigates that family of "cyclical Earth disaster" theories. It deliberately spans two very different kinds of evidence: the data of magnetostratigraphy, paleoclimatology, and astrophysics, and the archives of comparative mythology and ancient oral tradition. The catastrophist thesis is that some ancient "myths" of world-ages, floods, and celestial fire are not mere fables but observational records — archaeoastronomy encoded in narrative. That is a genuinely interesting interpretive claim, and we treat it as such: as a **HYPOTHESIS**, read alongside the physical record rather than in place of it.

The structure moves from the largest gears of the clockwork (galactic timescales of tens of millions of years) down to the millennial pulses that appear to track the rise and fall of human civilizations — and finally to the modern, instrument-era systems (space weather, ocean circulation, the geomagnetic field) through which the same physical stresses would express themselves today.

1.1 Taxonomy of Cyclical Disaster Theories

The following table organizes the principal cyclical models by periodicity and mechanism, with an evidence grade attached to each so the framework is honest from the outset.

Periodicity	Primary Mechanism (evidence grade)	Key Proponents	Geological / Historical Correlates
	Galactic-plane oscillation: Oort-cloud perturbation, comet showers, enhanced cosmic-ray flux. CONTESTED	Rampino & Stothers; Raup & Sepkoski	Mass extinctions (K-Pg); crater-age clusters; flood-basalt provinces
	Milanković orbital cycles: eccentricity, obliquity, precession. ESTABLISHED	Milutin Milanković	Glacial-interglacial cycles; ice ages; insolation forcing
	Solar-geomagnetic pulse: extreme solar particle event / superflare, magnetic excursion, crustal displacement (Thomas) or core-mantle decoupling (ECDO). HYPOTHESIS as a single linked cycle; component grades vary widely	Firestone; Schoch; Davidson; Thomas; "The Ethical Skeptic" (ECDO)	Younger Dryas cooling; megafauna extinction; Laschamp/Gothenburg excursions; flood myths
	Heinrich-event harmonics: ice-sheet collapse, ocean-circulation shifts. CONTESTED	Heinrich; Bond	North Atlantic iceberg discharges
	Bond events: solar-modulated North Atlantic climate variability. CONTESTED	Bond; Dansgaard-Oeschger	Rapid climate fluctuations; Little Ice Age; Medieval Warm Period

The sections that follow dissect each layer in turn, beginning with the macro-scale interaction of our star with the galaxy and zooming in to the ~12,000-year pivot that dominates the human record.

2. The Galactic Context: Macro-Temporal Cycles (30–60 Million Years)

The largest gears in the clockwork of catastrophe turn on scales of millions of years, driven not by internal Earth dynamics but by the Solar System's trajectory through the Milky Way.

2.1 The Galactic Plane Oscillation (30–33 Ma Cycle)

While the Sun orbits the Galactic Center roughly every 225–250 million years (a "Galactic Year"), its path is not a flat circle. The gravitational restoring force of the dense galactic disk causes the Solar System to oscillate vertically, rising above and sinking below the mid-plane like a horse on a carousel.

2.1.1 Mechanism of Catastrophe

The Solar System passes through the dense galactic mid-plane approximately every 30 to 33 million years. This region holds molecular gas clouds, a higher density of stars, and possibly a thin disk of dark matter.

As the Sun moves through denser regions, gravitational tides from the disk and from passing stars can destabilize the Oort Cloud — the shell of icy bodies surrounding the Solar System — sending comet showers toward the inner planets and raising the probability of Earth impacts.

The mid-plane is also a zone of enhanced cosmic-ray flux. Passage through it can increase atmospheric ionization, with proposed (and debated) effects on cloud nucleation and global temperature.

2.1.2 Geological Corroboration: The Periodicity of Extinction

The search for a "beat" in the fossil record has a real empirical basis. In their landmark 1984 analysis, David Raup and Jack Sepkoski reported a statistically significant ~26-million-year periodicity ($P < 0.01$) across 12 extinction events spanning the preceding ~250 million years (Raup & Sepkoski 1984). The signal is genuine but its interpretation is disputed: later re-analyses of the same dataset have variously favored a ~27-million-year cycle or a ~62-million-year cycle (likely a harmonic), and many statisticians regard the periodicity as an artifact of dating uncertainty rather than an astronomical metronome.

The asteroid impact that ended the non-avian dinosaurs ~66 million years ago falls close to this cadence (about two 33-Myr cycles ago).

Large Igneous Provinces such as the Deccan Traps also cluster near these intervals, prompting catastrophist arguments that impacts induce antipodal volcanism or that galactic gravitational stress modulates the core. Mainstream geology treats the basalt–impact coincidence as unproven.

2.2 The Nemesis Hypothesis and Planet Nine

To explain a 26-Myr extinction cycle, the "Nemesis" theory proposed that the Sun has an undetected dwarf companion on a long elliptical orbit that periodically disrupts the Oort Cloud. The Wide-field Infrared Survey Explorer (WISE) has effectively ruled out any Jupiter-mass companion in the relevant volume, and Nemesis is now considered defunct. The unrelated "Planet Nine" proposal — invoked to explain clustering of distant Kuiper-belt orbits — remains an open question, but it is not a

catastrophe driver. The vertical oscillation of the Sun through the galactic plane remains the more parsimonious account of any long-period signal, requiring no unseen star.

3. The 12,000-Year Cycle: The Great Pivot and the Younger Dryas

Zooming in from millions of years to thousands, we reach the most densely documented and fiercely debated cycle: the ~12,000-year catastrophe. This window sits at the intersection of orbital mechanics (half-precession), solar physics (extreme particle events), and geophysics (magnetic excursions). It is the focal point for the Younger Dryas Impact Hypothesis, the "solar micronova" theories, and the various pole-shift mechanisms.

3.1 The Younger Dryas Cataclysm (~12,900 BP)

The transition from the Pleistocene to the Holocene was not smooth. Around 12,900 years ago, as the Earth was warming out of the last glacial, it was abruptly thrown back into near-glacial cold for roughly 1,200 years — the Younger Dryas — before warming just as sharply around 11,700 years ago. *What* caused the snap-back is one of the most contested questions in Quaternary science.

3.1.1 The Younger Dryas Impact Hypothesis

CONTESTED — and, on the current balance of evidence, leaning toward rejection. Researchers including Firestone, West, and Kennett argued that a fragmented comet or airburst over the Northern Hemisphere destabilized the Laurentide Ice Sheet and triggered the cooling (Firestone et al. 2007). The proposed evidence included a carbon-rich "black mat," nanodiamonds and lonsdaleite, a platinum/iridium anomaly, microspherules, and the coincident extinction of much of North American megafauna and the collapse of the Clovis technocomplex.

This is the document's single highest credibility risk, and intellectual honesty requires presenting both sides at full strength:

rests on the impact-proxy assemblage above, defended in a long series of papers and recently extended by claims of shocked quartz and cometary dust in lake and marine cores.

is now substantial. A comprehensive review found that the core proxies are either non-reproducible, mis-dated, or explicable without an impact (Holliday et al. 2023). Critically, two of the most prominent *recent* pro-impact papers — a 2025 "shocked quartz" study (Kennett et al. 2025) and a 2025 Baffin Bay cometary-dust study (Moore et al. 2025) — were

and must not be counted as support. Independent work has also reattributed parts of the platinum signal to ordinary volcanic and depositional processes rather than an impactor.

A fair reading is therefore that the YDIH is a *competing* explanation under heavy pressure, not an established fact. The "overkill" (human-hunting) hypothesis for the megafauna is likewise **CONTESTED**, not "superseded." Notably, the catastrophist case does not collapse if the impact reading fails: the

threads that *gained* support — the extreme-solar-particle reading below, and the well-understood meltwater–ocean–circulation mechanism (§8.2) — carry the rapid-cooling story on their own.

3.1.2 The Solar Outburst, Plasma, and Miyake-Event Reading

An alternative reading of the Younger Dryas–era evidence emphasizes extreme solar activity rather than a kinetic impactor. Two strands must be kept distinct, because one is rock-solid and the other is speculative.

Tree-ring ^{14}C and ice-core ^{10}Be

preserve sharp, globally synchronous spikes produced by extreme solar energetic-particle (SEP) events. Well-dated examples include 774/775 CE and 993/994 CE (Miyake et al. 2012), ~660 BCE, and — the largest yet found — an event ~14,300 years ago (Bard et al. 2023). Two honest caveats matter here: the ^{14}C rise of the 14,300-BP event is roughly twice that of AD 774, but the *inferred particle fluence* is only on the order of ~18% larger, because production efficiency depends on the carbon cycle and field state; and that event lies within the Bølling–Allerød warm phase, well *before* the Younger Dryas onset, so it cannot have been the Younger Dryas trigger. A Miyake event is a cosmogenic-radionuclide signal best modeled as an extreme SEP — it is not the same thing as a normal flare, an ordinary Carrington-type CME, or a "micronova."

The most defensible combined-driver claim is that the *consequences* of an extreme SEP depend strongly on the geomagnetic field at the time. Modeling shows that under a weakened field (as during a Laschamp-type excursion) the same particle event produces far greater ozone loss, atmospheric-chemistry disruption, and ground-level radiation (Arsenović et al. 2024). This is the strongest physical link between *magnetic excursion* and *solar proton storm* as a compounded stress on the biosphere.

Geologist Robert Schoch and others argue that vitrified hill-forts and burn signatures record auroral plasma grounding to Earth during a giant solar storm. The thermodynamics of vitrification are real, but conventional explanations (warfare, deliberate firing) remain viable, and no quantitative model links a specific solar event to specific vitrified sites. Treat this as an interesting but unconfirmed reading.

3.2 The Solar Micronova and Superflare Hypothesis

A unifying narrative

advanced by independent researchers such as Ben Davidson and Douglas Vogt links solar instability to the galactic environment, proposing that the Sun sheds a plasma shell — a "micronova" — roughly every ~12,000 years when it crosses the "galactic current sheet." Two things must be separated:

Sun-like stars *do* produce

. A survey of Kepler Sun-analogs found that stars resembling the Sun release flares of order $\geq 10^{34}$ erg roughly once per century (Vasilyev et al. 2024) — far beyond the Carrington event, and a legitimate, sobering basis for taking extreme solar outbursts seriously.

The observed "micronovae" of 2022 are
([Scaringi et al. 2022](#)) — they require a binary companion and a confining magnetic geometry that the Sun simply does not have. There is no peer-reviewed mechanism, and no periodicity paper, supporting a *solar* micronova or a 12,000-year "current-sheet" detonation. The geological evidence for a recurring ~12,000-year environmental upheaval is real; the specific nova mechanism proposed to explain it is not.

The Davidson/Vogt framing is retained here as a named community hypothesis precisely so it can be graded honestly rather than quietly adopted or quietly dropped.

3.3 Chan Thomas and Crustal Displacement

In 1963 Chan Thomas published *The Adam and Eve Story*, later partially classified and (in part) declassified by the CIA — a history that has given it cult status in catastrophe circles. Thomas proposed that Earth's lithosphere can slide over the asthenosphere when an eccentric polar ice load, released by a trigger event, drags the crust toward equilibrium in a matter of hours to days, washing the oceans across the continents. Mainstream geophysics rejects rapid whole-lithosphere displacement on energetic and rheological grounds; the declassified material concerns geophysical and upper-atmosphere questions rather than any hidden confirmation. The idea survives as a vivid hypothesis, not a supported mechanism.

3.4 ECDO: Exothermic Core–Mantle Decoupling–Dzhanibekov Oscillation

A distinct, internally-driven model — developed and published online under the pseudonym — frames the trigger as terrestrial rather than solar. (No primary source establishes the author's legal identity, so none is asserted here.)

Exothermic processes in the core release heat and reduce core–mantle coupling; Earth's triaxial mass distribution then permits a Dzhanibekov-style rotational instability (the intermediate-axis theorem) and a relatively rapid true-polar-wander excursion of order ~90–104° along a preferred meridian.

Large-scale oceanic displacement, inundation of low-lying continents, and rapid climate reorganization over days to years, followed by centuries of adjustment.

The honest core of ECDO is that it makes *testable* claims about past pole positions. It borrows from genuinely unsettled geophysics: decadal-scale variation in inner-core rotation ([Yang & Song 2023](#)) and slow true polar wander documented in the paleomagnetic record. But those processes operate at rates of order $\leq 1^\circ/\text{Myr}$; a days-to-years 90°+ flip is supported by any paleomagnetic or geodynamic evidence and would violate energy and angular-momentum constraints as currently understood.

ECDO is included because it adds concrete, falsifiable past-pole predictions that *can* be checked against paleomagnetic and archaeological data — which is the right way to engage a fringe hypothesis: state it clearly, then say exactly what would confirm or refute it.

4. The Magnetic Shield: Geomagnetic Excursions

The ~12,000-year story is bound up with the behavior of Earth's magnetic field — our primary shield against the solar wind and cosmic radiation. When the field weakens, the shield thins.

4.1 The Laschamp Excursion (~42,000 BP) and the "Adams Event"

The best-studied excursion is the Laschamp event, ~41,000–42,000 years ago, during which dipole field strength fell to roughly 5–10% of its present value and the poles wandered toward the equator before recovering. That collapse is firmly established. What it *did* to life and climate is where the dispute lies.

Cooper and colleagues tied the Laschamp collapse to a cascade of environmental and biological changes — ozone loss, UV stress, megafaunal turnover, even a surge in cave-art ochre handprints — naming it the "Adams Event" (Cooper et al. 2021). Those strong causal claims drew formal Technical Comments disputing the chronology and the cause-and-effect attribution, with published author replies. The field-collapse is real; the dramatic "global crisis" reading remains **CONTESTED**.

Recent modeling of how the auroral oval migrated as the field broke down (Mukhopadhyay & Panovska 2025) sharpens the physical picture without settling the biological debate.

For the longer-term backbone — whether geomagnetic field intensity paces human and large-mammal evolution — see the cautious review by Channell & Vigliotti 2019.

4.2 Modern Signs: The South Atlantic Anomaly and Pole Drift

Present-day geophysics is what makes the deep-time excursions feel close.

The north magnetic pole has been moving from the Canadian Arctic toward Siberia at historically high rates (tens of km/year), tracked operationally through the NOAA/BGS World Magnetic Model.

Eleven years of ESA *Swarm* satellite data show the SAA expanding and the field weakening fastest in a region southwest of Africa (Finlay et al. 2025). Lower field intensity there lowers geomagnetic cutoff rigidity and raises radiation exposure for satellites and high-altitude systems — a genuine operational concern.

A weakening field and a growing SAA are *not* the same as an imminent reversal. The present dipole remains within the range of historical variation, and analysis of paleomagnetic context concludes the field is most likely currently reversing (Brown et al. 2018). Cyclical theorists read the SAA as a precursor signal; mainstream geomagnetism reads it as ongoing secular variation that *may or may not* develop into an excursion over 10^3 – 10^4 years. Both readings should be on the page.

5. The Mythological Archive: Ancient Data Storage

This section shifts register deliberately. If these cataclysms recur, humanity has lived through them before, and the catastrophist tradition holds that ancient cultures encoded that experience in story, calendar, and architecture — an interpretive discipline sometimes called archaeoastronomical mythology. Read what follows as *interpretation of cultural records*, graded as a hypothesis about how myth may preserve memory, not as physical evidence for any mechanism.

5.1 Precession and "Hamlet's Mill"

In *Hamlet's Mill* (1969), Giorgio de Santillana and Hertha von Dechend argued that many ancient myths are technical descriptions of the precession of the equinoxes — the ~25,920-year wobble of Earth's axis (de Santillana & von Dechend, 1969).

The "Mill" (Norse *Amlodhi's Mill*, Finnish *Sampo*) stands for the turning celestial sphere; when it "breaks," a precessional age ends.

This figure recurs across ancient timekeeping. The antediluvian reigns of the Sumerian King List total figures linked to 432,000; the Vedic Kali Yuga is reckoned at 432,000 years; and 25,920 divided by the Sumerian base-60 equals 432. The catastrophist reading is that the ancients were tracking the precessional wobble, a cycle physically linked to the orbital pacing of ice ages.

5.2 The Hindu Yuga Cycles

Hindu cosmology is strictly cyclical, divided into four Yugas — Satya, Treta, Dwapara, Kali.

Where orthodox texts give a 4.32-million-year cycle, the 19th-century scholar Sri Yukteswar argued in *The Holy Science* that this reflected a calculation error and that the true cycle is ~24,000 years — close to the precessional period (Yukteswar, 1894).

Yukteswar proposed that the Sun orbits a companion every ~24,000 years, with human awareness rising and falling as it nears or recedes from a "grand center." By his reckoning the low point ended ~1700 CE, placing us in an ascending age — a framing his followers connect to the rapid modern discovery of electricity and atomic forces.

5.3 The Mesoamerican Long Count and the Five Suns

The Maya and Aztec possessed some of the most sophisticated timekeeping of the ancient world.

The Maya "Great Cycle" of 13 Baktuns runs ~5,125 years; its completion on 21 December 2012 was a reset point that some link to the solstice sun's alignment with the galactic center.

Aztec cosmology holds that the world has been created and destroyed four times — by jaguars, by wind, by a rain of fire, and by flood (a flood some catastrophists associate with the

Younger Dryas meltwater pulse) — and that we live in the fifth age, Nahui-Olin, prophesied to end through earthquakes and movement of the Earth.

5.4 The Hopi Prophecy: The Fourth World

The Hopi tradition parallels the Aztec: three prior worlds destroyed by fire, ice, and flood, and a present "Fourth World" whose end is signaled by the appearance of the "Blue Star Kachina." The motif of purification-by-catastrophe followed by rebirth recurs widely — for example in the Norse *Ragnarök*, with its Fimbulwinter (great winter) preceding the burning and renewal of the world.

5.5 Comparative Mythological Time-Systems

The table below synthesizes these systems, highlighting their structurally similar four-age, decline-and-destruction patterns across cultures that were geographically isolated.

Mythological System	Current Age	Previous Destructions	Key Duration (years)	Relation to Precession
	Ascending Dwapara	Gradual decline of consciousness	~24,000 (12k + 12k)	Direct: $\approx 25,920$ precession
	Fifth Sun (earthquake)	Jaguars, wind, fire-rain, flood	~5,125 (13 Baktuns)	Harmonic: $5 \times 5,125 \approx 25,625$
	Iron Age	Gold, Silver, Bronze, Heroic (moral decline)	Mythic	Thematic: 4-age decline
	Fourth World	Fire, ice, flood	Prophetic	Thematic: elemental destruction

6. The Plasma Universe Connection: Petroglyphs and Peratt

A subset of cyclical theory de-emphasizes gravity in favor of electromagnetism in celestial mechanics and in historical catastrophe. It is included here for completeness and graded accordingly; its credibility should not be borrowed by the better-supported sections above.

6.1 Velikovsky and the Electric Comet

Immanuel Velikovsky's *Worlds in Collision* (1950) was the foundational modern catastrophist text, arguing that Venus, ejected as a "comet" from Jupiter, passed near Earth ~1500 BCE and caused globally-recorded disasters (Velikovsky, 1950). His specific celestial mechanics were decisively rejected by mainstream astronomy (notably in exchanges with Carl Sagan); what persists in the "Electric Universe" community is the broader assertion that the Solar System is electrically dynamic and has been recently rearranged — itself unsupported.

6.2 Peratt Instabilities and the "Squatter Man"

Plasma physicist Anthony Peratt observed that high-current Z-pinch discharges evolve through specific, reproducible geometric forms — stacked plasma toroids, or "donuts," radiating synchrotron emission — and argued that these forms match the globally-distributed "squatter man" petroglyph motif carved in the Neolithic and Early Bronze Age, proposing that ancient humans worldwide witnessed and recorded an intense auroral/plasma display during a major solar or magnetospheric event (Peratt 2003). The laboratory plasma morphologies are real; the inference that a specific prehistoric sky-event produced a specific petroglyph corpus is interpretive and unconfirmed.

7. Synthesis: The Clockwork and Its Modern Echoes

The value of assembling geology, astrophysics, and mythology side by side is not that they "prove" a single doomsday clock, but that they reveal how often Earth's quiet intervals have been interrupted — and through which physical systems.

7.1 The Mechanism of Interconnected Cycles

The catastrophist synthesis proposes a cascade, which we restate with grades attached:

the ~33-Myr oscillation through the galactic plane periodically sensitizes the Solar System to disruption (comets, cosmic rays).

extreme SEPs and superflares are real and consequential, but no peer-reviewed periodicity underwrites a precise 12-kyr "reset."

a weakened field plus an extreme particle event would drive ozone loss and surface radiation; meltwater and volcanic forcing can drive abrupt cooling. The individual mechanisms are sound; their orchestration into one synchronized cycle is the unproven part.

7.2 Evidence and Interpretation

The most useful single distinction in this entire literature is between **robust observations** and the **mechanism hypotheses** built on top of them. They should never be cited at the same confidence.

Robust observation — ESTABLISHED	Mechanism hypothesis — HYPOTHESIS
Laschamp field collapse to ~5–10% (~42 ka)	A precise ~12,000-year reset clock
Miyake extreme-SEP spikes incl. ~14.3 ka	A recurring <i>solar</i> micronova
Stellar superflares $\geq 10^{34}$ erg ~1/century	Galactic-current-sheet detonation timing
SAA growth and field weakening (Swarm)	An imminent geomagnetic reversal

Robust observation — ESTABLISHED

Mechanism hypothesis — HYPOTHESIS

AMOC weakening over the 20th century

A days-scale 90°+ pole flip (ECDO)

Carrington/Gannon geomagnetically induced currents

A single trigger unifying all the above

7.3 The Warning of the Ancients

Whatever one concludes about mechanism, the mythological record is consistent in structure: it does not describe a single end of the world but a *recurrence* of destruction and renewal, encoding both timing (the 25,920 / 432,000 motifs) and character (fire, ice, flood). Read as a hypothesis about cultural memory, this is a striking pattern; read as physics, it is suggestive, not probative. The honest position is that the rapid drift of the magnetic pole and the weakening of the field are real, measurable trends — and that whether they are "the ticking hands of a geological clock" or ordinary secular variation is exactly the open question the instrument era now lets us test.

8. Modern Amplifiers: Space Weather and Earth's Vulnerable Systems

This is where the deep-time story meets the present, and where it connects most directly to the systems this application monitors. The point is not that a cataclysm is imminent, but that the *same* physical stresses — solar particle events, a weakening field, ocean-circulation shifts, volcanic forcing — are observable now and would be amplified by modern dependence on technology.

8.1 Carrington-Class Events and Modern Technology

The 1859 Carrington event remains the benchmark severe storm (white-light flare, global aurora, telegraph disruption); recent work even reconstructs its likely X-ray flare class (Hudson et al. 2025) and shows such storms recur — the 1921 New York Railroad superstorm was a close analog only 62 years later (Love, Hayakawa & Cliver 2019). The modern proof-of-concept arrived with the [Miyake event](#), the first G5 since 2003: aurorae reached unusually low latitudes, GPS and precision-agriculture services were disrupted during planting season, satellites experienced measurable extra atmospheric drag — yet, crucially, [no major infrastructure damage occurred](#). Geoelectric-hazard mapping shows why the risk is real and uneven across regions (Love et al. 2025), and national assessments have long warned that a Carrington- or Miyake-scale event — especially during a period of reduced geomagnetic shielding — could impose severe, cascading impacts on grids, satellites, aviation, and communications — a severe-storm scenario the National Academies costed at

[\\$2.25 trillion](#) (National Academies, 2008). This is the most direct, defensible bridge between the deep-time record and present-day risk.

A severe geomagnetic storm is often called a natural "solar EMP." The accurate comparison is to the slow, late-time [quasi-DC component](#) of a nuclear electromagnetic pulse — the quasi-DC geomagnetic disturbance that

drives geomagnetically induced currents into long conductors and heats extra-high-voltage transformers internally, risking permanent damage to assets that can take months to years to replace (National Academies, 2008). It does produce the fast pulse of a high-altitude nuclear detonation that disables small electronics directly. So the real, well-founded threat is to the

— the GIC record documented above — not a Hollywood-style instant blackout of every device, a distinction the catastrophe literature frequently blurs.

8.2 AMOC Shutdown and Abrupt Climate Change

The Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation (AMOC) is a major control on Northern-Hemisphere climate, and it is the cleanest modern analog to the Younger Dryas: the mechanism — freshwater disrupting deep-water formation — has demonstrably fired before. The evidence must be presented in balance:

the AMOC has weakened, plausibly to its slowest in a millennium (Caesar et al. 2021); physics-based early-warning indicators suggest it may be on a tipping course (van Westen et al. 2024); and a much-debated statistical analysis put the central estimate for collapse near (mid-century, under current emissions) — a figure that a 2025 author correction to the estimator subsequently shifted by about 8 years (Ditlevsen & Ditlevsen 2023).

a CMIP6 study finds the northern overturning shuts down only *after* 2100, via a deep-mixing collapse (Drijfhout et al. 2025); and a 34-model assessment concludes outright collapse is *unlikely this century* — the AMOC weakens substantially (a mean of ~54% after an abrupt CO₂ quadrupling) but keeps overturning, sustained by Southern-Ocean upwelling (Baker et al. 2025).

The result is a genuine, debated tail risk — exactly the kind of abrupt, mechanism-grounded climate shift the cyclical literature gestures at, here with real models on both sides.

8.3 Volcanic Winters: Paleo Feedback versus Modern Uptick

ESTABLISHED paleo feedback; **CONTESTED** modern "uptick" (rejected in its strong form). Two claims are often conflated and must be separated:

Over deglaciations, unloading of ice promotes volcanism on millennial timescales — globally two- to six-fold between ~12 and 7 ka, releasing an estimated 1,000–5,000 Gt of CO₂ — a genuine feedback between deglaciation, volcanism, and CO₂ (Huybers & Langmuir 2009). Individual volcanic winters are well documented: the 536 CE event and the Late Antique Little Ice Age are anchored by ice-core volcanic chronologies (Sigl et al. 2015; Büntgen et al. 2016).

there is no robust evidence of a *present-day* global increase in volcanic eruptions; the Smithsonian Global Volcanism Program attributes apparent rises to better reporting and monitoring, not more volcanism. The defensible framing is not "more volcanoes now" but "volcanic forcing is underweighted in projections and can produce sharp climate excursions." The 2022 Hunga

Tonga eruption is a useful caution against simple "volcano = cooling" intuition: it injected enormous water vapor with comparatively modest SO₂, complicating its net radiative effect.

8.4 The Global Electric Circuit as Coupling and Observable

The Global Electric Circuit (GEC) — the planet-scale circuit maintained near ~250 kV by thunderstorms and electrified clouds — is modulated by the solar wind, Forbush decreases, and geomagnetic conditions. Under a weakened main field and an extreme particle event, large changes in ionization and conductivity would drive measurable anomalies in fair-weather current density (Jz), making the GEC both a physical coupling pathway and a *real-time observable* during the conditions hypothesized for past resets (Tinsley 2024; Rycroft 2025). This connects the deep-time mechanisms to a system the application already models (see the in-app Global Electric Circuit layer). One guardrail: the legitimate GEC physics here should not be confused with the separate, and far weaker, claim that cosmic-ray/cloud coupling is a dominant *climate* forcing — that hypothesis is **CONTESTED** and not mainstream.

9. Limitations and Open Questions

Honesty about what this synthesis *cannot* establish is part of its argument:

The strongest items (Laschamp, Miyake events, superflares, SAA growth, AMOC variability) are individually established, but no peer-reviewed result links them into one synchronized ~12,000-year cycle. The cascade in §7.1 is a framework, not a finding.

The solar micronova, the galactic-current-sheet timing, the days-scale ECDO flip, and exact 12-kyr triggering each lack a confirming peer-reviewed mechanism; some conflict with energy or angular-momentum constraints.

The YDIH literature in particular contains retracted (2026) and reattributed results; none of those is treated here as positive evidence, and the hypothesis is presented as contested.

The constraining literature on cosmic-ray/cloud climate coupling (for example the CERN CLOUD results) is under-represented relative to its proponents; readers should weight the §8.4 climate-forcing guardrail accordingly.

§§5–6 are hypotheses about cultural memory; structural parallels across cultures are real and intriguing, but they are not physical evidence for any mechanism.

The right posture is neither dismissal nor belief, but measurement: the instrument era — satellites, ice cores, tree rings, ocean moorings, and the monitoring systems behind this application — is precisely what finally lets these old, dramatic claims be tested.

Works Cited

- Bard, E., Miramont, C., Capano, M., Guibal, F., Marschal, C., Rostek, F., Tuna, T., Fagault, Y., & Heaton, T. J. (2023). A radiocarbon spike at 14,300 cal yr BP in subfossil trees provides the impulse response function of the global carbon cycle during the Late Glacial. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A*, 381(2261), 20220206. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2022.0206>
- Arsenović, P., Rozanov, E., Usoskin, I., Turney, C., Sukhodolov, T., McCracken, K., et al. (2024). Global impacts of an extreme solar particle event under different geomagnetic field strengths. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 121(28), e2321770121. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2321770121>
- Baker, J. A., Bell, M. J., Jackson, L. C., Vallis, G. K., Watson, A. J., & Wood, R. A. (2025). Continued Atlantic overturning circulation even under climate extremes. *Nature*, 638, 987–994. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-024-08544-0>
- Brown, M., Korte, M., Holme, R., Wardinski, I., & Gunnarson, S. (2018). Earth's magnetic field is probably not reversing. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 115(20), 5111–5116. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1722110115>
- Büntgen, U., Myglan, V. S., Ljungqvist, F. C., McCormick, M., Di Cosmo, N., Sigl, M., et al. (2016). Cooling and societal change during the Late Antique Little Ice Age from 536 to around 660 AD. *Nature Geoscience*, 9, 231–236. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2652>
- Caesar, L., McCarthy, G. D., Thornalley, D. J. R., Cahill, N., & Rahmstorf, S. (2021). Current Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation weakest in last millennium. *Nature Geoscience*, 14, 118–120. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-021-00699-z>
- Channell, J. E. T., & Vigliotti, L. (2019). The role of geomagnetic field intensity in late Quaternary evolution of humans and large mammals. *Reviews of Geophysics*, 57(3), 709–738. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2018RG000629>
- Cooper, A., Turney, C. S. M., Palmer, J., Hogg, A., McGlone, M., Wilmshurst, J., et al. (2021). A global environmental crisis 42,000 years ago. *Science*, 371(6531), 811–818. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abb8677>
- de Santillana, G., & von Dechend, H. (1969). *Hamlet's Mill: An Essay on Myth and the Frame of Time*. Gambit.
- Ditlevsen, P., & Ditlevsen, S. (2023). Warning of a forthcoming collapse of the Atlantic meridional overturning circulation. *Nature Communications*, 14, 4254. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-39810-w> (Author Correction, 2025: <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-025-63201-y> — estimator error corrected; the central tipping estimate shifts by about 8 years.)
- Drijfhout, S., Angevaere, J. R., Mecking, J., van Westen, R. M., & Rahmstorf, S. (2025). Shutdown of northern Atlantic overturning after 2100 following deep mixing collapse in CMIP6 projections. *Environmental Research Letters*, 20(9), 094062. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/adfa3b>
- Finlay, C. C., Kloss, C., & Gillet, N. (2025). Core field changes from eleven years of Swarm satellite observations. *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors*, 107447.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pepi.2025.107447>

Firestone, R. B., West, A., Kennett, J. P., Becker, L., Bunch, T. E., Revay, Z. S., et al. (2007). Evidence for an extraterrestrial impact 12,900 years ago that contributed to the megafaunal extinctions and the Younger Dryas cooling. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 104(41), 16016–16021. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0706977104>

Holliday, V. T., Daulton, T. L., Bartlein, P. J., Boslough, M., Breslawski, R., Fisher, A., et al. (2023). Comprehensive refutation of the Younger Dryas Impact Hypothesis (YDIH). *Earth-Science Reviews*, 247, 104502. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2023.104502>

Hudson, H. S., Cliver, E. W., Hayakawa, H., Beggan, C. D., Clarke, E., Gallagher, P., Osborne, C., & Woan, G. (2025). The X-ray class of the Carrington flare. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 544(2), 1992–1998. <https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/staf967>

Huybers, P., & Langmuir, C. (2009). Feedback between deglaciation, volcanism, and atmospheric CO₂. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 286(3–4), 479–491. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2009.07.014>

Love, J. J., Hayakawa, H., & Cliver, E. W. (2019). Intensity and impact of the New York Railroad Superstorm of May 1921. *Space Weather*, 17(8), 1281–1292. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019SW002250>

Love, J. J., Lucas, G., Kelbert, A., & Rigler, E. J. (2025). Mapping a Carrington storm. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 52(19). <https://doi.org/10.1029/2025GL116835>

Miyake, F., Nagaya, K., Masuda, K., & Nakamura, T. (2012). A signature of cosmic-ray increase in AD 774–775 from tree rings in Japan. *Nature*, 486, 240–242. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11123>

Mukhopadhyay, A., & Panovska, S. (2025). Wandering of the auroral oval 41,000 years ago. *Science Advances*, 11(16), eadq7275. <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.adq7275>

National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. (2008). *Severe Space Weather Events: Understanding Societal and Economic Impacts*. The National Academies Press. <https://doi.org/10.17226/12507>

Peratt, A. L. (2003). Characteristics for the occurrence of a high-current, Z-pinch aurora as recorded in antiquity. *IEEE Transactions on Plasma Science*, 31(6), 1192–1214. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TPS.2003.820956>

Raup, D. M., & Sepkoski, J. J., Jr. (1984). Periodicity of extinctions in the geologic past. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 81(3), 801–805. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.81.3.801>

Rycroft, M. J. (2025). Some recent key aspects of the DC global electric circuit. *Atmosphere*, 16(3), 348. <https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos16030348>

Scaringi, S., Groot, P. J., Still, M., Warner, B., de Martino, D., & Knigge, C. (2022). Localized thermonuclear bursts from accreting magnetic white dwarfs. *Nature*, 604, 447–450. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-04495-6>

Sigl, M., Winstrup, M., McConnell, J. R., Welten, K. C., Plunkett, G., Ludlow, F., et al. (2015). Timing and climate forcing of volcanic eruptions for the past 2,500 years. *Nature*, 523, 543–549. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14565>

Tinsley, B. A. (2024). The influence of the solar wind electric and magnetic fields on the latitude and temporal variations of the current density, J_z , of the global electric circuit. *Journal of Atmospheric and Solar-Terrestrial Physics*, 265, 106355. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jastp.2024.106355>

van Westen, R. M., Kliphuis, M., & Dijkstra, H. A. (2024). Physics-based early warning signal shows that AMOC is on tipping course. *Science Advances*, 10(6), eadk1189. <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.adk1189>

Vasilyev, V., Reinhold, T., Shapiro, A. I., Usoskin, I., Krivova, N. A., Maehara, H., et al. (2024). Sun-like stars produce superflares roughly once per century. *Science*, 386, 1301–1304. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.adl5441>

Velikovsky, I. (1950). *Worlds in Collision*. Macmillan.

Yang, Y., & Song, X. (2023). Multidecadal variation of the Earth's inner-core rotation. *Nature Geoscience*, 16, 182–187. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-022-01112-z>

Yukteswar, S. (1894). *The Holy Science* (Kaivalya Darsanam). Yogoda Satsanga Society of India.

[Retracted 2026] Kennett, J. P., et al. (2025). Shocked quartz at the Younger Dryas onset. *PLOS ONE*, 20(9), e0319840. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0319840> · [Retracted 2026] Moore, C. R., et al. (2025). A 12,800-year-old layer with cometary dust, microspherules, and a platinum anomaly in Baffin Bay cores. *PLOS ONE*, 20(8), e0328347. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0328347>

"The Ethical Skeptic." (2024–2025). *Exothermic Core–Mantle Decoupling–Dzhanibekov Oscillation (ECDO) Theory* series. <https://theethicalskeptic.com> · Davidson, B. (2021). *The Next End of the World* (independent publication) · Thomas, C. (1963). *The Adam and Eve Story* (partially declassified; U.S. CIA FOIA Reading Room).

ESA
Swarm mission — South Atlantic Anomaly and core-field monitoring (European Space Agency) · NOAA/NCEI & British Geological Survey — *World Magnetic Model (WMM) 2025* and north-magnetic-pole tracking · Smithsonian Institution — *Global Volcanism Program* (eruption reporting and monitoring-bias guidance).